

**Stoneleigh & Ashow Joint Parish Council (Parklands Consortium) 1055 / 6099**

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CONCERNING  
WARWICK DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN EXAMINATION  
MATTERS AND ISSUES IDENTIFIED BY THE INSPECTOR**

**MATTER 4: SPATIAL STRATEGY**

4.1. DS19: Green Belt. The approach to Green Belt policy does not appear to be fully in accordance with government guidance as set out in the national planning policy.

4.2. DS4: The Spatial Strategy does not differentiate between Green Belt and Green Field sites.

Appendix A of the Landscape Site Analysis, Final Feb 2016 presents both the 2008 JGBS together with the 2015 Review. In many cases it is stated that the general landscape context within the individual land parcels has not altered since 2008. This is relevant in the case of Kings Hill where in 2008 the landscape review suggested that this land parcel should be safeguarded from development. This statement was later reviewed concerning the area west of Kings Hill Lane (parcel 12e) which was then amended to be least constrained. The 2015 Review states that the aim of the study is the presently unmet need for housing development land in Coventry which requires this area to be reviewed again in detail to ascertain opportunities for urban expansion.

This study was focused on sites for future expansion and did not further evaluate the significance and heritage value of the Green Belt.

4.5 Areas of Green Belt that were assessed as performing well in the Green Belt evaluation have not always avoided consideration as possible development sites.

4.6 The approach to the location and broad distribution of development land, many sections of which have been located within the Green Belt, has not been fully justified and is not always appropriate.

Hazel Fryer.

31<sup>st</sup> August 2016