

WRITTEN STATEMENT MATTER 4 - THE SPATIAL STRATEGY

 These representations are made on behalf of The Rosconn Group in response to the allocations for Cubbington namely:

H25: Allotment Land Rugby Road H26: Opposite Willow Street Meadow H50: Land east of Cubbington

- 2. Red line plan attached.
- 3. These submissions are directed at the following questions raised by the Inspector:-

Q6; Is the approach to the location and broad distribution of development appropriate or justified?

Q7; What is the basis for identifying Growth Villages and Limited Infill Villages? Is the list of villages in each category justified and appropriate?

4. The allocation of land for housing on the edge of Cubbington is considered as a matter of principle, to accord with the principles of achieving a sustainable pattern of development. It is necessary to review the Green Belt boundary around Warwick



and Learnington-including the co-joined settlement of Cubbington as insufficient land is available within the urban area to meet the housing needs of the District.

- 5. Cubbington is locationally appropriate to accommodate urban expansion having a good range of day to day services including local shops in two locations, and a primary school. Some local employment is available. Access to 'higher order 'facilities and services is available by a choice of transport including cycling and walking. Public transport is available along Cubbington Road for access to Leamington town centre; the railway station; retail park and to the main employment areas south of the town by bus throughout the day. Access to North Leamington School (secondary) is a distance of some 2.7 km (1.7 miles) which is accessible by cycling or amounting to a short distance by car travel.
- 6. The identification of Cubbington as a Growth Village is considered appropriate.

