

MONDAY 18 JULY 2016

OPTION (ii)
FURTHER WRITTEN COMMENTS.

THIS RELATES TO THE SUPPOSED INCLUSION OF 7000 HOUSES IN OUR AREA FOR COVENTRY'S HOUSING NEEDS.

POINT 1. COVENTRY IS PART OF THE WEST MIDLANDS AND NOT WARWICKSHIRE, THEREFORE WEST MIDLANDS SHOULD PROVIDE LAND = NOT WARWICKSHIRE.

POINT 2. COVENTRY HAS USED UP VALUABLE BUILDING LAND IN ITS OWN CITY FOR A MASSIVE UNIVERSITY PROGRAMME PROVIDING NUMEROUS OF NEW PROPERTIES FOR THE UNIVERSITY WHERE THIS LAND SHOULD HAVE BEEN USED FOR GENERAL HOUSING NEEDS, ALSO LARGE OLD INDUSTRIAL SITES HAVE BEEN USED FOR STORAGE PLOTS ETC = FOR EXAMPLE THE PEGGOT SITE AT RYTON = A SITE THAT COULD HAVE BEEN USED FOR HOUSING.

POINT 3. THERE IS THOUSANDS OF ACRES OF LAND IN COVENTRY IN THE BROWNS HAME AND ALLESLEY AREA = YES IT IS GREEN BELT LAND BUT IT IS PROPOSED TO USE GREEN BELT LAND IN WARWICK, LEAMINGTON SPA AND KENILWORTH, SO WHY NOT BROWNS HAME AND ALLESLEY.

POINT 4. COVENTRY IS A CITY WITH FAR MORE AVAILABLE LAND THAN WARWICK, LEAMINGTON SPA AND KENILWORTH AND COVENTRY'S NEEDS SHOULD BE MET BY COVENTRY'S LAND (AND NOT IMPOSE THESE NEEDS ON OTHER AUTHORITIES).

I FEEL VERY STRONGLY AGAINST THIS PROPOSAL.

SINCERELY (DAVID) BRADSHAW