

Summary of Responses: Vision

Ref no:	Vision
01	More effort needed on existing sites rather than making new ones
02	Vision relies on history and not looking forward. Constraints on buildings mean that they can't be used for 21 st century. No cultural strengths in Warwick to build on. Needs seeding with a business hub and infrastructure to replace that removed with County Town functions. New life would support town centre businesses with tourism a bonus. proposed vision of building economic and social success on Warwick's architecture and undefined cultural strengths commits Warwick to slow death by old age.
03	Need to have measurable targets in place to gauge success over time What are the economic measures? How do you measure social success Economic success the most important aim?
04	The vision doesn't mean anything; it's just a sound bite.
05	Suggest "To assist in generating a prosperous and fulfilled community in Warwick whilst maintaining the town's historic appearance."
06	If you want to encourage visitors into the town or even our own townsfolk into the town, you have to provide things for them to do and see. Taking away the museum would stop visitors wanting to go to Warwick Town Centre. They will disappear down Smith Street to St John's. Pedestrianisation would reduce traffic pollution but would increase congestion in an already problematic road system between Warwick & Leamington. This is supposed to be the County Town of Warwickshire and closing everything - Police Station, Courts, Fire Station and now the proposal to move the Museum to another site - could hardly be seen as improving Warwick. Leave the Museum where it is as a visitor attraction and get some more shops into the town centre.
07	Not particularly visionary, rather an opportunity to promote use of public land
08	What is meant by economic success or social success?
09	I doubt if we could ever find the money for half of the projects envisioned in this plan.
10	Insufficient provision for parking. Especially for local shops.
11	Takes no account of traffic when Northgate Street is returned to housing.
12	Sustainable transport routes must be a central part of the vision. Cycling provision is wholly inadequate and there is no dedicated routes into the town centre. Some development of routes within the Priory park and St. Nicholas would help. Cycle parking also needs to be addressed.
13	Vision limits the scope of the plan by focusing on architectural and cultural strengths whereas the primary need identified in the options is to address the traffic problem. It would be hard to identify and measure social success and attribute its achievement to the architectural and cultural measures identified as the building blocks. Perhaps it would be better to define a vision that

	directly addresses the primary issues and concerns identified by residents and contributors to this planning process - build on Warwick's unique distinguishing features; address the impact of traffic on the fabric of the town; revive the flagging economy, etc.
14	The 'vision' is idealistic and will sadly have to be modified due to financial constraints. The vision is unlikely to be realised, if ever, in the near future.
15	Fantastic. Well overdue and the ideas really tackle the issues that need sorting in the town.