### **TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990**

### WARWICK DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN EXAMINATION

### MATTERS 1 & 2 DUTY TO COOPERATE AND OVERALL HOUSING PROVISION

### REPRESENTATIONS ON BEHALF OF

### CREST STRATEGIC PROJECTS LIMITED

D2 Planning Ref: 093-12

D2 Planning Limited Suites 3 and 4 Westbury Court Church Road Westbury on Trym Bristol BS9 3EF

Tel: 0117 373 1659 Fax: 0117 950 4356 April 2015

#### **CONTENTS**

- 1. INTRODUCTION
- 2. QUESTION 9 WHAT IS THE EVIDENCE THAT THE LEVEL OF NEED IN INDIVIDUAL AUTHORITIES AND THE HMA AS A WHOLE WILL BE MET I.E.IN TERMS OF CAPACITY
- 3. QUESTION 10 WILL THERE BE UNMET NEEDS IN THE HMA? IN PARTICULAR WILL THERE BE UNMET NEEDS IN COVENTRY? IF SO, WHAT IS THE SCALE OF THIS UNMET NEED?
- 4. QUESTION 11 WHAT ARE THE ISSUES AS FAR AS WARWICK DISTRICT IS CONCERNED IN ADDRESSING UNMET NEEDS FROM OTHER AUTHORITIES I.E. COVENTRY?
- 5. QUESTION 13 HAS THE ISSUE OF UNMET NEED WITHIN THE HMA OR BEYOND BEEN ADDRESSED AND RESOLVED?
- 6. QUESTION 14 HOW DOES THE LOCAL PLAN DEAL WITH THE ISSUE? IS IT AN APPROPRIATE APPROACH?
- 7. QUESTION 15 WHAT ARE THE IMPLICATIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH THE DUTY TO CO-OPERATE OF NOT ADDRESSING THIS ISSUE AT THIS STAGE?
- 8. QUESTION 17 IN OVERALL TERMS HAS THE COUNCIL ENGAGED CONSTRUCTIVELY, ACTIVELY AND ON AN ONGOING BASIS IN MAXIMISING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE PREPARATION OF THE LOCAL PLAN? WHAT HAS BEEN THE OUTCOME OF COOPERATION AND HOW HAS THIS ADDRESSED THE ISSUE OF HOUSING PROVISION.

### **DOCUMENTS**

 Coventry and Warwickshire and South East Leicestershire Economic Prosperity Board Report

### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1. Crest Strategic Projects Limited (CSP) control land at Lodge Farm, Westwood Heath Road, Warwick comprising some 30.5 hectares which they consider is eminently suitable for residential development. The site lies within the statutory Green Belt to the south of Coventry but within the administrative area of Warwick District Council.
- 1.2. With regards to issues relating to objectively assessed housing needs (OAN) this is dealt with by Barton Wilmore who have submitted evidence on behalf of a consortium of house builders and developers including CSP. Their evidence concludes that the proposed OAN of circa. 4,000 dwellings per annum in the Coventry and Warwickshire HMA is a constrained figure. To adopt such a figure would not boost the supply of housing as required by the NPPF and a figure of over 5,000 dwellings per annum would be more appropriate. This Statement does not seek to repeat that evidence but deals with issues relating to the potential release of this site.

## 2. QUESTION 9 – WHAT IS THE EVIDENCE THAT THE LEVEL OF NEED IN INDIVIDUAL AUTHORITIES AND THE HMA AS A WHOLE WILL BE MET I.E.IN TERMS OF CAPACITY

- 2.1. CSP have specific interest in the OAN for Coventry City and how that will be met. At the meeting of the Coventry and Warwickshire and South East Leicestershire Economic Property Board on 21<sup>st</sup> November 2014 it was agreed that (see attached):-
  - 1. The objectively assessed need for the Coventry and Warwickshire HMA is 4,004 dwellings per annum of which: (see attached)

Coventry City 1,180 dpa 1,811 dpa

Warwick 720 dpa 606 dpa

- 2. That the higher HMA meant that an additional housing requirement of 234 dwellings per annum (or 4,680 dwellings over the 20 year period) still needs to be addressed.
- 3. This scale of additional requirement cannot be finalised until the capacity of each district is understood. This is dependent on strategic housing land available assessments being undertaken in Coventry and Rugby as well as a joint Green Belt study.
- 2.2. Whilst the brief for the joint Green Belt study has been agreed the study has not been finalised. In addition there are still issues to be resolved with regards Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessments in Coventry and Rugby being completed. The results of these studies and assessments need to analysed and assessed in relation to how the additional housing requirements are to be met.
- 2.3. At present the evidence indicates that the level of need particularly with regards Coventry and Warwick will not be met as capacity studies have not been completed and the implication of these studies assessed to identify and agree the distribution of the unmet shortfalls. In any event the unmet shortfall is likely to be greater than currently envisaged by the respective authority.

## 3. QUESTION 10 – WILL THERE BE UNMET NEEDS IN THE HMA? IN PARTICULAR WILL THERE BE UNMET NEEDS IN COVENTRY? IF SO, WHAT IS THE SCALE OF THIS UNMET NEED?

- 3.1. In view to the response on Question 9 above, it is apparent that there will be unmet housing needs in the HMA with particular regards to Coventry. Coventry City is relying in part of its OAN to be met in adjoining Districts i.e. Warwick. However, to date it is unclear what the level of the unmet need will be and how it will be distributed to individual neighbouring authorities, which is Warwick District.
- 3.2. In terms of timescales resolving this issue it is again unclear. Whilst the individual authorities set out a timetable to undertake capacity and other studies, agreement must ultimately be reached between those authorities on their specific capacity to accommodate the unmet housing needs. This has potential to lead to uncertainty and delay.
- 3.3. In the case of Warwick District, it is apparent that if this Local Plan proceeds to adoption then the unmet need for Coventry will not be met and will have to rely on a review of the Local Plan. This is contrary to the advice in the NPPF which seeks positive plan making in order to provide certainty from the plan led system as well as significantly boosting the supply of housing.

## 4. QUESTION 11 – WHAT ARE THE ISSUES AS FAR AS WARWICK DISTRICT IS CONCERNED IN ADDRESSING UNMET NEEDS FROM OTHER AUTHORITIES I.E. COVENTRY?

4.1. In meeting unmet needs for Coventry the implications for Warwick District is to release land from the Green Belt to the south of Coventry. This represents the most sustainable strategy to meet the additional housing requirement. It is however apparent from the evidence base that two Green Belt studies have already been undertaken. One of which was a joint Green Belt study in 2009 and that study identified specific araeas of land (inculding the CSP sites at Westwood Heath Road and Lodge Farm) that could be considered suitable for release from the Green Belt to meet any unmet housing needs. Accordingly, it is unclear why a further Green Belt study is required when such work has already been undertaken.

### 5. QUESTION 13 – HAS THE ISSUE OF UNMET NEED WITHIN THE HMA OR BEYOND BEEN ADDRESSED AND RESOLVED?

5.1. This issue has not been addressed or resolved given the answers to Questions 9-11. The current Local Plan provides considerable uncertainty in terms of how the unmet need will be met and seeks to delay taking a decision on that issue until some later unspecified date. Such an approach is contrarty to the guidance in NPPF with regards to positive plan making.

### 6. QUESTION 14 – HOW DOES THE LOCAL PLAN DEAL WITH THE ISSUE? IS IT AN APPROPRIATE APPROACH?

6.1. As stated in response to Question 13 the Local Plan seeks to defer taking decisions on how the unmet housing need will be met until some future unspecified date.
Such an approach is not appropriate or in accordance with the NPPF.

# 7. QUESTION 15 – WHAT ARE THE IMPLICATIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH THE DUTY TO CO-OPERATE OF NOT ADDRESSING THIS ISSUE AT THIS STAGE?

7.1. By not addressing this issue respective authorities have not complied with their requirements under the Duty to Co-operate. Having accepted that there is an unmet housing need to be accommodated it is suggested that the requisite studies be undertaken to identify capacity in respective districts and once that work has been completed with Local Plans being prepared in parellel for Warwick District and Coventry City.

- 8. QUESTION 17 IN OVERALL TERMS HAS THE COUNCIL ENGAGED CONSTRUCTIVELY, ACTIVELY AND ON AN ONGOING BASIS IN MAXIMISING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE PREPARATION OF THE LOCAL PLAN? WHAT HAS BEEN THE OUTCOME OF COOPERATION AND HOW HAS THIS ADDRESSED THE ISSUE OF HOUSING PROVISION?
- 8.1. It is acknowledged that the Council has entered into discussions and dialogue with neighbouring authorities regarding the unmet housing need. However, the Local Plan simply defers taking a decision on this issue to some unspecified time in the future i.e. probably a review of the Local Plan. This process will only seek to ensure that housing need is not met and provide uncertainty going forward.

### DOCUMENT 1

## Coventry and Warwickshire and South East Leicestershire Economic Prosperity Board Report

### COVENTRY AND WARWICKSHIRE and SOUTH EAST LEICESTERSHIRE ECONOMIC PROSPERITY BOARD

### 21st November 2014

### Process for Addressing the HMA's Full Housing Requirement

### 1 Purpose

1.1 This paper builds on the paper discussed at the EPB on 10th October. It seeks agreement for a clear, shared process and timeline to identify and address the HMA's additional housing requirement.

### 2 Background

- 2.1 At its meeting on 10<sup>th</sup> October, the Economic Prosperity Board agreed the following recommendations:
  - 1. Agree that all Councils proceed to adopt their Core Strategies and Local Plans without any further delay;
  - 2. Agree that the OAN for the whole of the HMA is as set out in the new (2014) JSHMA document at 4,004 homes per annum;
  - 3. Agree that, given the current starting point for the distribution of housing across the HMA is as set out in the table below, the process and timetable set out in Appendix Three is followed to agree a revised distribution:

	Proposed Distribution of	
	Housing No's	
Coventry	1,180	
North Warwickshire	175	
Nuneaton & Bedworth	495	
Rugby	660	
Stratford-on-Avon	540	
Warwick	720	
Shortfall	234	
TOTAL (HMA)	4,004	

4. Agree to carry out a review in the form of a Joint Core Strategy for the whole of the sub region starting no later than 2017 to be complete be 2020 relating to a period to 2041 (but recognising the need to start earlier if required to meet other housing needs from outside the HMA).

- Request that officers bring forward a further report detailing timetable; the governance; officer/other resource and other details necessary to support a Joint Core Strategy for the sub region.
- That recommendation 5 also considers how Hinckley and Bosworth's Development Plan would be linked with and/or incorporated.
- 2.2 This paper seeks to provide further detail regarding recommendation 3 (particularly in relation to addressing the HMA's additional housing requirement (originally set out in previous papers as the "shortfall")) to ensure 4004 dwellings per annum is achieved) and provides a process and timetable to address recommendation 4 at the same time as recognising that not all the members of the EPB supported the proposal to work towards a Joint Core Strategy for the sub region.
- 2.3 The process and timeline set out in this report have therefore been prepared in such a way as to leave the option of preparing a Joint Core Strategy open, without committing to an actual Joint Core Strategy. However, even without a commitment to a Joint Core Strategy, the process is still considered to be an appropriate and effective means to address delivery of the HMA's housing requirement in full even if this is through co-ordinated reviews of individual Local Plans.
- 3 Why do we need to address an additional housing requirement?
- 3.1 The 2013 Joint Strategic Housing Market Assessment (JSHMA) identified a housing need within the HMA in the range of 3750 to 3800 dwellings per annum and this figure was supported by the Joint Committee in March 2014 along with a proposed distribution of this between the six authorities (see table 1 below). This figure was reviewed in 2014 following the publication of the updated ONS Sub National Population Projections. This review indicated the HMA's housing need is 4004 dwellings per annum (see table 1 below) which has now been agreed as the HMA's Objectively Assessed Need.

Table 1

	Previous JSHMA conclusion (2013)	New JSHMA Annex Conclusion (2014)
Coventry	1,180	1,811
North Warwickshire	165 - 175	204
Nuneaton & Bedworth	495	422
Rugby	660	453
Stratford-on-Avon	540 - 570	508
Warwick	720	606
TOTAL (HMA)	3,750 - 3,800	4,004

- 3.2 The higher Objectively Assessed Need agreed by the Economic Prosperity Board in October means there is an additional housing requirement of around 234 dwellings per annum (or 4680 over a 20 year period) that still needs to be addressed. However the exact scale of the additional requirement cannot be finalised until the capacity of each District is fully understood and this is dependent on Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessments (eg in Coventry and Rugby) and the Joint Green Belt Study.
- 3.3 This makes it premature and potentially unsustainable to address the additional requirement now by agreeing a final distribution between districts or by identifying the most appropriate sites. The distribution set out in recommendation 3 of the October EPB report has been agreed as a "starting point". It is now suggested that the meaning of this is clarified to provide certainty for those authorities with impending local plan examination processes and to enable all authorities to provide a clear and consistent approach in dealing with appeals. It is therefore proposed that each authority commits to the distribution set out in recommendation 3 of the October EPB report (which is consistent with the distribution agreed in March 2014) but that it is recognised that this is subject to change if the proposed capacity work (set out in 4.1(b) below and step 7 of the table below) demonstrates that the level of housing proposed cannot sustainably be achieved within the administrative boundaries of any particular authority. In this case, the balance of the housing number will be added to the additional housing requirement and addressed as set out in the remainder of the process/timeline. In this context the additional requirement of around 234 dwellings per annum (or 4680 over a 20 year period) should be seen as the minimum.
- 3.4 Given that two authorities in the HMA will be subject to Examination in Public over the next 6 months and all will face planning appeals, it is vital that we develop and clearly commit to a shared process and timetable for addressing the additional housing requirement.
- 3.5 So, it is in the interests of all six local planning authorities to address this issue as soon as possible. However given the uncertainties about capacity and the most appropriate distribution, this means that at this stage we need to commit to a shared process and timeline. This must not simply be an agreement to address this in the future but must involve a clear commitment from all six authorities to a process that can demonstrably deal with the additional housing requirement and a commitment to deliver this process in accordance with a timeline. To achieve this commitment, it will be necessary for the process and timeline to not only be supported by the EPB but also for each of the six authorities to formally sign off the agreement.
- 3.6 A further connected issue is that we need a shared justification for the proposed distribution of the HMA's housing requirement. This is particularly important to enable Coventry City Council to progress their local plan and will also ensure that the distribution is robustly defended at EIPs and appeals. To do this, it is proposed that estimated housing need set out in the JSHMA Annex "Part Return to Trend" figure (see appendix 1) is used as the initial consideration, as this forms the basis for the HMA's objectively assessed need of 4004 dwellings per annum. However, it is recognised that the distribution of the OAN set out in that scenario is unrealistic as it indicates a need in excess of 36,000 dwellings for Coventry. In supporting the distribution set out in recommendation x below, the six Councils are recognising that the indicative distribution of the need in the JSHMA Annex cannot be met in reality and are accepting an initial redistribution to the Warwickshire authorities. This

redistribution enables the HMA to take a very significant step forward in achieving the OAN, subject to the further capacity work described below.

- 4 Process and Timeline to Identify and Address the Housing Shortfall
- 4.1 There are 5 key elements to the process set out in the timeline shown in Table 2 below:
  - a) Joint Monitoring: establish an effective joint Monitoring Group to ensure consistent development monitoring processes
  - b) Assessment of Housing Land Capacity: agree a joint methodology for undertaking SHLAAs and applying this to build a shared picture of the HMA housing land capacity and the distribution of this. This will also enable the exact scale of the shortfall to be established
  - c) Identify and agree broad spatial options: Combine a capacity-led approach based on SHLAA information with other key factors like the SEP employment growth proposals, transport corridors, commuting patterns and migration patterns to establish and appraise broad spatial options for meeting the shortfall
  - d) Review of evidence: assess and agree the impact of the Joint GB study, updated population projections, updated monitoring data (housing and employment); housing need arising outside the HMA etc)
  - e) Coordinated Review Process: undertake a review process to ensure the HMA's housing requirement continues to be delivered.

Table 2

	Date	Task	Purpose
1	November	Set up a joint Monitoring Group to establish	To enable the HMA's 5 year land supply
	to	consistent development monitoring	position to be established
	December	processes (as a minimum this should cover	To ensure consistent and
	2014	housing and employment)	comprehensive data is available
			regarding housing and employment
			delivery and supply
2	November	Compare SHLAA methodologies and agree a	To ensure consistency between
	to	shared methodology to be used for all	authorities and to enable reliable site
	December	SHLAA's undertaken within the HMA (SHLAA	comparisons to be made
	2014	Workshop)	To enable the HMA's housing land
			capacity to be established and to
			understand the distribution of this
			capacity
3	December	Each Local Authority to formally commit to	To ensure shared confidence that the
	2014 to	the process and timetable	process will be delivered
	January		To demonstrate to Inspector's that the
	2015		shortfall will be addressed effectively
4	December	First Local Plan examination window	Relevant to Stratford-on-Avon and
	2014 to		Warwick, with DTC input from other 4
	May 2015		LPA's.
5	February	Completion of Joint Green Belt Study (stage	To inform housing land capacity work

### Agenda Item 7

A coverage busing land ptions of the eto justify
ptions of the
ptions of the e to justify
ptions of the e to justify
of the
of the
e to justify
tions to
w process
nts can be
capacity in
y for a
this will
and
h DTC
nance
pe etc
uneaton
view
(y)
v process
v process Iertaken
•
lertaken
lertaken
lertaken
lertaken
יפ

		review process including:	promptly and completed as quickly as
		Agreeing the purpose and scope of	possible
		coordinated reviews (or Joint Core	
		Strategy)	
		Undertaking an evidence review and	
		research to address any evidence gaps	
17	2017 to	Undertake coordinated review(s) or Joint	To ensure HMA's housing and
	2019	Core Strategy	employment requirements are
			delivered and are supported by
			necessary strategic infrastructure

### 5 Recommendations

- 5.1 The EPB is recommended to:
- 5.2 **Recommendation 1**: Reaffirm 4004 dwellings per annum as the OAN for the Coventry and Warwickshire HMA.
- 5.3 **Recommendation 2**: In recognition that Coventry City will not be able to accommodate the housing levels indicated in the Joint SHMA Annex (Table 1 above), it agrees the distribution endorsed by the Board on 10<sup>th</sup> October 2014, to accommodate some of the City's housing need, subject to a robust capacity study being undertaken.
- 5.4 **Recommendation 3**: Where, via such a study, any of the Warwickshire Districts can demonstrate that its capacity cannot meet the figure endorsed by the Board on 10<sup>th</sup> October 2014, the further shortfall will be added to sub regional additional housing need element.
- 5.5 **Recommendation 4:** agree the process and timeline set out in the Table 2 above to ensure delivery of the HMA's full housing need and that the process is commenced prior to the end of November 2014 as set out in the timeline.
- 5.6 **Recommendation 5**: agree that each of the six Local Planning Authorities within the HMA seek to formally sign off the recommendations of this report by February 2015.