 Warwick District Local Plan

Schedule of proposed changes to Revised Deposit Version of Warwick District Local Plan following analysis of comments

Please note that those changes marked as “major” in the right hand column were approved by Full Council on 8th March 2006. All other changes were approved under delegated powers on 31st January 2006.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy/Para.</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Major?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 1: Introduction</td>
<td>No changes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 2: User Guide</td>
<td>2.8 Amend Para 2.8 to state ‘Planning applications are determined primarily against policies in the development plan’. The following points are assessed and considered when determining a planning application:-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• policies contained within supplementary planning guidance and supplementary planning documents.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• etc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 3: Core Strategy</td>
<td>Spatial strategy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Within para. 3.6E, insert the words “control, and where appropriate, support development within them….”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Within the unnumbered paragraph between 3C and 3D, add the wording to support rural communities, except where development is identified and site specific policies apply.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Delete the word “main” from the reference to the “four main towns of the district…” between paras. 3.6A and 3.6B.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obj 2A</td>
<td>To the sentence in para. 3.16 add “…is of nature conservation value or historic interest in ……”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obj 2B</td>
<td>1. Add “geological/geomorphological features…” to para. 3.18.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Amend the final sentence of para 3.18 as follows: “We will therefore protect and enhance the landscape character, geological/geomorphological features and wildlife habitats and species of value and acknowledged national, regional or local importance from inappropriate new development in urban and rural locations. We will aim, wherever possible, to enhance all of these assets.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obj 2C</td>
<td>1. Amend wording in para 3.20 to read: designated and non-designated buildings and other structures and …non-designated aspects.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Amend first sentence of para. 3.20 to read “We recognize our responsibility to protect and conserve the historic environment….”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy/ Para.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Change</strong></td>
<td><strong>Major?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Obj 3C**       | 1. Amend last sentence of 3.29 to read: “We will also protect best and most versatile agricultural land and the landscape from *inappropriate* new development.”  
2. Amend objective to read: “and reduce the impact on climate change”. |            |
| **Obj 4C**       | Amend paragraph 3.33 under objective 4C to read: “...Providing a variety of *leisure and* recreational opportunities and protecting open space is also essential to promote the health and wellbeing of the community and support social inclusion.....” |            |
| **Obj 4D**       | Amend paragraph 3.34 as follows: “…and is designed to protect *and improve* standards of amenity…” |            |
| **Chapter 4: Development Policies** | **DP2** Add a further sentence at the end of paragraph 4.14 to state: “*In considering development proposals, any appropriate mitigation measures that can be put in place will be taken into account in assessing the overall impact of the development on amenity.*” |            |
|                  | **DP3** Policy wording should be changed to add the following to the end of the policy.  
*Where adverse impacts are unavoidable, the Council may consider possible mitigation measures to reduce any harm caused by these adverse impacts. Where mitigation measures are not possible, compensation measures may be appropriate.* |            |
|                  | Change paragraph 4.16 to read *Wildlife habitats landscape and geological* *geomorphological* features …. (last sentence of this paragraph should read) 'This should be achieved through careful consideration of habitat / landscape design with regard to existing site features and the landscape character, geology/ *geomorphology* and ecology of the surrounding area. The second sentence of paragraph 4.17 should be amended to read ‘...’ This guidance is reflected in the Structure Plan which requires that development does not have an adverse impact on landscape, or features of ecological, geological/ *geomorphological* or archaeological interest of local importance.’ |            |
|                  | Paragraph 4.19 should be amended to read ‘The Council encourages applicants to submit landscape and *ecological* information as part of their application .....’ |            |
|                  | **DP4** ‘Development will not be permitted which harms Scheduled Ancient Monuments (as shown on the proposals map) or their *settings*, or other archaeological remains of importance, *and their settings*’ |            |
DP6 Amend policy to read: Development will only be permitted which provides safe, convenient and attractive access routes for pedestrians, cyclists, public transport users and other users of motor vehicles, as appropriate.

Development proposals will be expected to demonstrate that they: (b) are designed to give priority access to, and allow penetration by, pedestrians, cyclists and public transport services, as appropriate; ...

DP7 1. Add to the second paragraph within the policy: “In appropriate circumstances, development proposals will be required to demonstrate how they comply with this policy....”

2. Amend the word ‘environments’ to ‘environment’ in the first sentence of para 4.38.

3. Add the following sentence before the last sentence of para 4.40: An Informal Transport Appraisal or a Transport Statement may be required for smaller developments as set out in Transport and Roads for Developments: The Warwickshire Guide, 2001. Add to the final sentence (at end): .....and on what level of transport appraisal is appropriate.

DP8 1. Add a new criterion e) to policy DP8 to state: e) takes account of the requirements of commercial vehicles. (Also, move the word ‘and’ from after c) to after d).

2. Amend and expand the penultimate sentence of para 4.44 to read: It is acknowledged, however, that parking levels on new developments need to recognise the accessibility and mobility needs of people and businesses and that these may be different in urban and rural areas.

3. Amend the last two sentences of para 4.45 to state that: The results of the pre-deposit consultation exercise revealed that respondents consider easy access to car parking important for housing, shopping and employment uses with slightly less importance attached to leisure uses. In addition, parking for all types of uses was considered less important in locations which were well served by public transport, but even here 54% felt that parking remained important.

4. Amend the second sentence of para 4.46 to state: Applicants will be expected to provide car parking on new developments in accordance with these standards, as set out in this document.

Also, add an additional sentence to the end of para 4.46 to state that: It will also accept parking in excess of the
maximum standard in appropriate circumstances, as set out in PPG13 or any subsequent government documents.

DP10  Policy now to read:-

Development in areas at risk of flooding will only be permitted where the following criteria are fully met:-

   a) to h) …as existing.

   Where development is supported as an exception to this policy within high risk areas, applicants will need to demonstrate they strictly comply with criteria c), d) and g).

Paragraph 4.59 will now read: ‘The Environment Agency is the land drainage authority for main rivers and has produced indicative flood zone maps for these and other watercourses. These are shown on the proposals map. These maps are based upon the approximate extent of flooding with a 1% annual occurrence for rivers, or where this is greater, the highest recorded flood event. The maps represent the best information available at the time, but are indicative only and should be used as a basis for consultation rather than decision making. Applicants are advised to refer to the Environment Agency for the most up-to-date indicative flood zone maps to identify any changes. These maps will also enable applicants to identify areas of high flood risk. The Where floodplains of all for some other watercourses are not yet available and therefore applicants are asked to contact the Local Authority Drainage Engineers, who are the Land Drainage Authority for non main rivers, to check the location of the nearest watercourse to their development site.’

DP12  Change ‘the energy efficient buildings’ in the first sentence of the policy to say simply ‘energy efficient buildings’.

DP12a  1.  Change the words ‘visual intrusion’ to ‘visual appearance’ in criterion a).

   2.  Part B of the policy to be extended to state: …. The Council will require 10% of the predicted energy requirements to be produced on site, or in the locality, from renewable energy sources.

   3.  Amend the first sentence of para 4.71F to state: Where appropriate, Environmental Assessment will be used to determine the effect of any proposal on amenity, public health and safety, townscape and/or landscape character, the natural and historic environment, climate and other factors.

   4.  Amend final sentence of para 4.71H to state that: Further guidance on the implementation of this policy will be set out in a Supplementary Planning Document.
Chapter 5: Sustaining Communities

Intro
Amend the first bullet point under paragraph 5.2 to read: contribute towards achievement of the core strategy, specifically in relation to the economic, social and environmental objectives, by protecting………

SC5
Include new sentence within Para 5.25 to state “….in the local community. In addition applicants will be required to demonstrate that the long term management and maintenance of open space is assured’

SC8
Add a further sentence at the end of paragraph 5.37: If the proposal is to be sited on a building, apparatus and associated structures should be sited and designed in order to seek to minimise impact on the external appearance of the building.

5.40
Amend paragraph 5.40 to read: “The framework for this is set out in Department of the Environment circular 1/97 ODPM Circular 05/2005 and elaborated on in other guidance such as circular 6/98 (regarding affordable housing).

Chapter 6: Urban Area Policies

Intro
In paragraph 6.5 replace Conoco with National Grid Transco

UAP3
Amend criterion (d) of the policy to read: “The development is, or can be made, highly genuinely accessible and well served by a choice of means of transport, especially public transport, walking and cycling as well as by car by foot, cycle, and public transport.”

Also, amend part of paragraph 6.17 to read: “This policy is applicable to all proposals for new retail development including new build, developments, change of use, intensifications and extensions in accordance with Government guidance.”

UAP4
Include Albion Street, Kenilworth as a new local centre.
Amend sentence in paragraph 6.22 to read: “For the purposes of this policy, local centres are defined as a small group of shops consisting of a cluster of six or more units (normally in a continuous elevation), usually comprising a newsagent, general grocery store, post office and other small shops of a local nature.”

UAP6
Amend para. 6.29 to read: “This policy only applies to proposals to locate motor showrooms and repair centres within existing or allocated employment areas. In these locations, and in all other locations outside of defined retail areas, it should be noted that the showroom or retail element of the proposed use will be controlled through a planning condition ……….”

✓
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy/Para.</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UAP7</td>
<td>Amend final sentence within Para 6.32A to state ‘In all other locations the Council will may require the submission of a travel plan to ensure the impact of development on accessibility is minimised’.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| UAP9        | 1. Amend final sentence of Para 6.36A to remove the reference to local shopping centres 'In identifying sites a sequential approach should be adopted through which all potential town centre options are thoroughly assessed before less central sites are considered. First preference should be given to the town centre followed by sites adjacent to the town centre then, within or adjacent to local shopping centres edge of centre locations.”  
2. In order to reflect the wording of PPS6 the word ‘highly’ should be removed from criteria c) and the wording of Para 6.39 should be amended to state ‘Alternative locations must also be highly accessible by public transport, cycling and walking which should be well served by a choice of means of transport (including public transport, cycling and walking) and may include sites adjacent to public transport corridor’ |

Chapter 7: Town Centre Policies

Intro

Amend 7.8A as follows:-

“Following from the work undertaken by DTZ the Council is presently undertaking further work to address some of the key issues within the town centres. Within Leamington town centre it has commissioned work to assess the feasibility and opportunities for a retail – led redevelopment of Chandos Street car park. **This work will also take account of the future need for car parking in maintaining the retail attractiveness of the Town Centre overall.** In Kenilworth the Council, alongside Warwickshire County Council and Kenilworth Town Council, has developed traffic management measures, which have not yet been agreed and will be subject to public consultation as part of the consideration of a wider framework of improvements to the town centre. The framework for improvements to the town centre also includes environmental improvements and the possible redevelopment of a number of sites and in particular of a Public Service Centre at Smalley Place.” agreed a series of traffic management measures that will be subject to further public consultation and a framework of wider improvements to the town centre.

TCP1 Change the second sentence of paragraph 7.11 to read,- “It will however support consider, in principle….  
Also amend paragraph 7.11 to read: “….detrimental impact on the quality of those environments. **For the purposes of considering such proposals within Warwick and Kenilworth town centres, the Council will expect applicants to seek to meet the**
requirements contained in criteria b) to e) of policy TCP3. Further guidance on the …..”

TCP2 Add new criterion (c) : (c) within the mixed use area of Warwick Town Centre defined on the Proposals Map.”

TCP7 Site A Station Area – Housing / commercial & business uses (B1, B2, B8)/ improved access to the railway station / improvements to rail related car parking / pedestrian accessibility.  

Insert new paragraph 7.33A as follows:-

Leamington railway station lies immediately to the south of the railway line within site A. This site also includes land to the north of the railway line and opportunities may exist here to improve rail related car parking and create a northern pedestrian access to the station to improve links between the station and the rest of the town centre. The Council will support proposals to achieve these aims where they would enhance the overall attractiveness of the station and accord with policy SC3.

Chapter 8: Rural Area Policies

RAP2 Add an additional criterion to the policy as follows:
“e) It is a replacement dwelling in accordance with Policy RAP4 “

RAP6 In sub-section d) of the policy delete “the need for it” and insert “the established functional requirement”

RAP8 Amend para. 8.57a to state: “……Rural buildings may contain bats and barn owls. Bats are protected under European and British law. Applicants are advised to check for the presence of bats and barn owls and seek professional advice to ensure that their proposals safeguard these species using the site

RAP8a Add a new criterion as follows:
“d) the replacement would not result in the loss of a building which displays the special qualities of a traditional rural building “

RAP11 para 8.66 – change third sentence to read as follows “A settlement can be of any size, however, proposals will need to demonstrate that they are serving a local need (usually the immediate requirements of the settlement) and this will be more difficult in the case of facilities within very small communities”.

Chapter 9: Designated Area Policies

DAP4 The policy should now read as follows:-
“Development will not be permitted which will destroy or adversely affect the following sites of national importance:

a) designated Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI’s). Currently designated sites are shown on the Proposals Map;

b) designated Ancient Woodlands. Currently Designated sites are shown on the Proposals Map;

development will be strongly resisted that will destroy or adversely affect the following locally important sites/features:

b) designated Ancient Woodlands. Currently Designated sites are shown on the Proposals Map;

c) designated Local Nature Reserves (LNRs) Currently designated sites are shown on the Proposals Map;

d) any other sites subject to a local ecological or geological/geomorphological designation unless the applicant can demonstrate that the benefits of the proposal significantly outweigh the ecological/geological/geomorphological importance of the area. When development is allowed appropriate compensatory measures will be sought.

e) protected, rare, endangered or other wildlife species of conservation importance

In assessing the effect of development on a nature conservation or geological/geomorphological site in relation to (b), (c), (d) and (e) proposals will not be permitted unless the applicant can demonstrate that consideration will be given to any mitigation and compensatory measures proposed that takes account of the importance of the site/ species, the extent to which ecological, geological or geomorphological impact is minimised, the nature of the measures proposed, and proposed long term management of features/sites/habitats of ecological/geological/geomorphological importance.

The reasoned justification should be altered as follows:-

Paragraph 9.17 “It is important...... Statutory sites cover both Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI’s), Ancient Woodland and Local Nature Reserves......Non - Statutory sites include both Local Nature Reserves and Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINCS - see below) and Regional Important Geological and Geomorphological Sites (RIGS – see below). Both types of sites are important components of the District’s ecological/geological/geomorphological resources.”

Paragraph 9.18 “Government policy...... geological/geomorphological value within their area......”

Paragraph 9.22 – “There are nine Local Nature reserves .... that can-make a useful ...
Paragraph 9.23 – “Many other sites and features …..Non–statutory designated sites of substantive ecological or geological/geomorphological value are now referred to as Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC’s), or potential Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (pSINC’s). There are currently 10 designated SINC’s in Warwick District and they fall into two categories:

- **Wildlife Sites**: Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINC’s). There are currently 10 SINC’s designated in Warwick District. These are designated for their…... This project has used data held…. to identify those sites of substantive conservation value in terms of Planning Policy Guidance Note Statement 9: Nature Conservation Biodiversity and Geological Conservation. There are also potential SINC’s (pSINC’s) requiring more detailed assessment before being submitted to the panel. Sites SINC’s and features of substantive value will be afforded protection under Policy DAP4 above, pSINC’s will be afforded this protection until assessed. It should be…..

- **Geological sites**: Regionally Important Geological and Geomorphological Sites (RIGS). These include sites which are known as Regionally Important Geological And Geomorphological Sites (RIGS). There are currently 9 RIGS in Warwick District. These sites are designated by the Warwickshire Geological Conservation Group

Add a further paragraph. Paragraph 9.25A. **Protected, rare, endangered or other wildlife species of conservation concern will be taken into consideration within any development proposal. European protected species will be regarded as a material consideration with information to be submitted prior to any determination. UK protected, UK and Local Biodiversity Action Plan, Red Data Book and RSPB notable species are to be regarded as significant considerations as part of any application. It should also be noted that habitat supporting these species would also need to be considered within an application.**

DAP10 1. The following text should be deleted from paragraph 9.39 (4th sentence) of the reasoned justification and added to the policy “Development will also be expected to respect the setting of Conservation Areas and important views both in and out of them”. The text above (now incorporated into the policy) should be replaced with the following in paragraph 9.39 “It is important that development both within and outside of Conservation Areas should not adversely effect the setting of a Conservation Area by impacting on important views and groups of buildings from inside and outside the boundary”.

2. The second paragraph of policy DAP10 should be amended
to read as follows; ‘Detailed plans shall be submitted for all types of applications involving building works in conservation areas, *including a full specification of building materials and finishes to be used*, to demonstrate how they comply with this policy. Notification of works to trees in Conservation Areas will also be required’. Paragraph 9.41 should be amended to read ‘The Council *may* also *will* …..

3. Paragraph 9.42 add the following Conservation Areas:-  
   - Kenilworth (Clarendon Road)  
   - Kenilworth (Waverley Road)  
   - Leamington Spa (Lillington Village)  
   - Leamington Spa (Lillington Road North)

4. A new paragraph 9.39A should be added to the reasoned justification as follows:— "**Gardens and open spaces that add to the historic appearance and interest of Conservation Areas should be protected from development**".

DAP13 1. The English Heritage Register of Parks and Gardens (paragraph 9.49) should be amended as follows:-  
   - Stoneleigh Abbey and Stoneleigh Deer Park (currently two separate entries) should be one entry *Stoneleigh Abbey and Deer Park, Stoneleigh. Grade II*  
   - Warwick Castle and Castle Park are currently two separate entries, they should be amended to read as follows, *Warwick Castle and Castle Park, Warwick. Grade I.*  
   - A further entry to this list should be added *Mallory Court, Bishops Tachbrook. Grade II*

2. A sentence should be added to the end of paragraph 9.49 stating that:— *The Planning Authority will consult English Heritage on planning applications affecting grade I and grade II * registered sites and their settings, and The Garden History Society on applications affecting registered sites of all grades.*

3. Paragraph 9.51 should be altered as follows The Council maintains its own list of parks and gardens which are of historical interest but which do not meet the criteria for inclusion on the national register. These are…

4. The reference in paragraph 9.51 to St Johns House Garden, Warwick should be changed to read *St Johns House Garden and Allotments.*

5. The reference to Wootton Court, Leek Wootton should now read as follows ‘Wooton Court and Arboretum, Leek Wootton.

6. Paragraph 9.51 should have the following revisions made, the reference to Mallory Court, Bishops Tachbrook and Lord Leycester Hospital Garden, Warwick should both be deleted.
as they are correctly included within paragraph 9.49.

7. An additional sentence should be added to the end of paragraph 9.51A stating that: *The Planning Authority will consult Warwickshire Gardens Trust on planning applications affecting sites included on the Local Register.*

DAP14 Replace the second sentence of paragraph 9.52 with the following:

*In particular, consultation with the relevant airport operator will be required, and restrictions will be imposed where necessary to safeguard the safe operation of an aerodrome, in respect of any of the following:*  
1) the height or detailed design of buildings within the safeguarding zone  
2) proposed development in the vicinity of the aerodrome which has the potential to interfere with the operation of navigational aids, radio aids or telecommunications systems  
3) proposed development which has lighting proposals which have the potential to distract or confuse pilots  
4) proposed development likely to increase the number of birds or the risk of bird strike  
5) other proposed aviation uses within the safeguarding zone.

*In respect of certain types of development listed in paragraph 8 of Annex 2 to Circular 01/2003 it may be necessary for the Council to ask an applicant to provide information to enable it to consider whether or not a proposed development would be likely to increase the bird hazard risk to aircraft.*

Chapter 10: Site Specific Policies

SSP2 Amend policy SSP2 to read "Within the following major developed sites within the green belt, as defined on the proposals map, appropriate limited infilling and redevelopment for employment or other uses identified in the supporting text as being appropriate for each site will only be permitted."

University of Warwick

1. Replace para. 10.17a to read "University of Warwick. The University is a world class higher education institution which, from its inception in 1964, has occupied land gifted by the Councils of Coventry and Warwickshire, the latter having been in the West Midlands Green Belt. Whilst the majority of growth to date has been on the Coventry side of the boundary, the University has always recognized from its earliest development plans that future growth would rely on the use of the Warwickshire land. The University now considers that this land is critical to sustaining its vision for the long term."
2. Amend para. 10.17b to read “…..framework for a planning brief to be prepared. *This brief, the University Development Plan 1994-2004, was adopted as supplementary planning guidance in 1995. It has not to date been fully implemented and remains an appropriate framework against which proposals at the University are considered. Any revisions or updates to this plan, which have been through the relevant adoption process, will be accorded significant weight in determining future applications for development.*”

3. Amend paragraph 10.17c to read “…..Identifying the site as a major developed site **within which the various university uses can expand** is the most appropriate means of doing this……”

4. Amend the final sentence of 10.17c to read: An area of 42 hectares has been identified for this purpose, **which reflects the outer limit to development as defined by the University Development Plan 1994-2004.**

5. Amend para. 10.17c to read: “The Council supports the University as a higher education institution of national importance, and is keen to ensure its continued success. **The Regional Spatial Strategy both supports development at Higher Education Establishments and recognizes the University’s location within the Coventry, Solihull and Warwickshire Hi-Technology corridor. Development at Higher Education Establishments is also supported by the Regional Spatial Strategy. It is important, however…..**”

---

**Woodside Training Centre**

1. Amend boundary of MDS as shown on plan. ✓

**Police Headquarters, Leek Wootton**

1. Amend boundary of MDS as shown on plan. ✓

**North Leamington School**

1. Amend boundary of MDS as shown on plan. ✓

**SSP3**

1. Amend para. 10.23 to read: …..over the next few years. **The proposal is for the development and refurbishment of Stoneleigh Park to provide exhibition, hotel and conference facilities, showground facilities, a business innovation park, visitor centre, leisure and ancillary retail and catering facilities, a National Equine Centre and livestock facilities, together with a new access road and bridge, landscaping, parking, circulation works, an equine bridge and highway improvements.”**

2. Delete the words from paragraph 10.23 “….and subject to it being called in by the Secretary of State.”

**SSP7**

Development of Coventry Airport will only be permitted within the area defined on the Proposals Map where:-
a) it consists of facilities for aviation activity undertaken at the airport;
b) the economic, social and environmental impacts (including road traffic impacts) have been rigorously assessed;
c) any adverse impacts are mitigated to reduce harm or, where harm cannot be adequately mitigated, are compensated for;
d) the number of air passengers served by the airport does not significantly exceed two million passenger movements per annum;
e) the number of air passengers served by the airport is linked to a level of availability of public transport serving the Airport which discourages unnecessary private car use; and
f) the number of air transport movements serving the airport does not constrain the growth of Birmingham International Airport as envisaged within the Air Transport White Paper in terms of airspace capacity. The number of air passengers served by the airport accords with the Air transport White Paper’s endorsement of Birmingham International Airport as the Government’s preferred location to meet future growth in passenger demand and reflects regional policies for airport development.

10.41 Government policy on air transport is set out within the Air Transport White Paper (December 2003) which emphasises the need for a balanced approach to the growth in air transport, recognising both the costs and benefits of air travel. The Government’s starting point is to make the best use of existing airports before supporting the provision of additional capacity. However, the provision of some additional airport capacity will be essential to accommodate the potential growth in demand. Failure to provide additional capacity would become a barrier to future economic growth and competitiveness. In relation to the Midlands, the White Paper therefore supports the growth of Birmingham International Airport but sees the development of Coventry Airport as a matter for local determination. Future development of Coventry Airport needs to be considered in the context of the White Paper’s endorsement of Birmingham as the Government’s preferred location to meet future growth in passenger demand and its position on the utilization of existing airport capacity.

10.42 The Regional Spatial Strategy for the West Midlands policy on Airports is to be reviewed. The policy currently supports the continued development of Birmingham International Airport as the principal international airport for the West Midlands. However, it recognises that Coventry Airport as primarily a freight airport provides a complementary service to Birmingham International Airport. It requires that any further development of Coventry
Airport should be subject to rigorous environmental assessment with consideration to be given to mitigation and compensation for unavoidable harm. *The Regional Planning Body has an important role in considering the implications of the Air Transport White Paper for the Regional Spatial Strategy and is committed to reviewing RSS Policy T11 in those terms. Such review may necessitate an early review of SSP7.*

10.42A The objective of this policy is to direct aviation development to land to the south east of the runway and therefore away from nearby residential properties in Baginton and thus protect the West Midlands Green Belt which covers the remainder of the Airport. The policy also ensures that the impact of any development is properly assessed and that any adverse impacts are mitigated or compensated for. Where adverse impacts cannot be mitigated or compensated for, development will not be permitted. In relation to development of passenger facilities, the policy *recognises that it is necessary to restrict* passenger growth in line with national policy, ensures appropriate levels of public transport and protects the ability of Birmingham International Airport as the West Midlands principal airport to grow as envisaged within national policy. Air Transport Movements are defined as the landing or take off of an aircraft engaged in the transport of passengers, cargo or mail on commercial terms.

10.42B It has to be recognised, however, that the Airport currently operates without restriction on the time, type and frequency of aircraft movements and also benefits from permitted development rights within part of the airport curtilage under the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995. Small scale development within part of the airport boundary therefore does not require planning permission.

**Appendices and Glossary**

App. 2 Appendix 2 is to be replaced with amended figures reflecting new monitoring information and government advice over the application of housing figures within the Regional Spatial Strategy.

The new appendix 2 is set out in full at the end of this report.

**Glossary**

1. Replace the term ‘historic landscape designation’ for ‘historic landscape *characterisation*’. Add ‘and to establish an integrated approach to its sustainable management’ to the end of the definition.

2. Amend definition for Ecosites as follows ‘current system operated by Warwickshire Museum Field Services to *record* sites of acknowledged nature conservation value. This includes the location of approximately 2500-3500 statutory and non statutory sites (Ecosites) within Warwickshire, Coventry and Solihull’. Each site….
3. Amend term Regionally Important Geological and Geomorphological Site.

**Proposals maps**

**Information plans**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Major?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Developed Site: Woodside Training Centre</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amend boundary of MDS as shown on plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Developed Site: Police Headquarters, Leek Wootton</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amend boundary of MDS as shown on plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Developed Site: North Leamington School</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amend boundary of MDS as shown on plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Replacement Appendix 2

The Warwickshire Structure Plan, adopted in August 2002, allows for the provision of 8,000 dwellings in Warwick District between 1996 and 2011. In June 2004, the Regional Planning Guidance for the West Midlands (RPG11) was adopted and this now has the status of the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) for the West Midlands. The RSS includes housing figures for Warwickshire County for the periods 2001-2007, 2007-2011 and 2011-2021. A partial review of the RSS, due for completion in late 2008, will provide housing figures at District level. In the meantime, Government advice to districts is that for the period at least 2001-2011 they should apply the district proportions in the Structure Plan housing allocations. Warwick District’s proportion of the Structure Plan housing figures is 25.7%.

The Table below shows the residual housing requirement. This is the balance of housing that needs to be provided after taking into account housing which has already been built since 2001.

**Table 1: The Residual Housing Requirement 2005-2011**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Dwellings</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regional Spatial Strategy Housing Requirement 2001-2011</td>
<td>4,624</td>
<td>This equates to 25.7% of the requirement for Warwickshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwellings Completed 2001 to 2005</td>
<td>3,324</td>
<td>These are dwellings that have been built and are ready for occupation. This is the ‘known’ element of the supply. Source: Housing Monitoring Report 2005.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining dwellings to be provided 2005-2011</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>This is the RSS requirement less completions. (The residual requirement)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Local Plan must make provision for 1,300 dwellings between 2005 and 2011. The forecast supply of dwellings to meet the requirement is set out in the following table.

**Table 2: Dwellings Supply at 2005**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Dwellings</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dwellings Committed at 2005</td>
<td>2,844</td>
<td>These are dwellings with planning permission or under construction, dwellings that were allocated within the previous Local Plan (1995) or dwellings identified within development briefs adopted by the District Council. Source: Housing Monitoring Report 2005.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwellings Lapsed post 2005</td>
<td>-284</td>
<td>These are the estimated number of dwellings committed at 2005 but not yet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The figures at April 2005 reveal potential for an over provision of 2,726 dwellings against the RSS requirement to 2011. It is not appropriate therefore for the Council to allocate any land for new dwellings for the period to 2011. Furthermore, no allocations from the previous Local Plan have been renewed. The majority of these allocations have been built or have the benefit of planning permission. Of the three major allocations, Warwick Gates is completed and South Sydenham and South West Warwick are under construction. The non renewal of allocations therefore has minimal impact on the number of dwellings committed at 2005 although it will help to reduce the over provision. The following table lists the outstanding allocations, or part allocations, not renewed and which do not benefit from planning permission at the time of publication of the Deposit Plan.

Table 3: Outstanding Allocations from previous Local Plan not renewed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous Policy Ref./Site</th>
<th>Dwellings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LW H3.5/ Coventry Road/ Montague Road</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LW H3.9/ Edmonscote Playing Field</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K H2.2/ St Johns Street</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It should be noted that the Urban Capacity Study was undertaken within the policy context of the previous Local Plan. The application of the policies within this Local Plan, particularly the protection of employment land and buildings, may reduce the number of windfalls achieved in the remainder of the Plan period. This may reduce the extent of the over provision against the RSS requirement. The Council will continue to annually monitor its progress towards achieving the overall housing requirement and adopt the principles of ‘plan, monitor and manage’ accordingly as advocated within PPG3. The results of the monitoring will be published within the Annual Monitoring Report.

The Structure Plan requires that the maximum number of dwellings are built on previously developed (brownfield) urban land and buildings. It has set an indicative target of 45% of new housing to be provided on previously developed land and buildings. The following table sets out the position at 2005 regarding progress on meeting this target.
Table 4: Urban Brownfield Completions and Commitments at 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Dwellings</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban Brownfield Completions 1996-2005</td>
<td>2,917 (43% of total completions)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Brownfield Commitments at 2005</td>
<td>2,043 (72% of total commitments)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Brownfield Dwellings as a proportion</td>
<td>4,960 (51% of total supply)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of total dwellings completed or committed at 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Housing Monitoring Report 2005)

This table reveals that 51% of dwellings completed or committed at 2005 are on previously developed urban land and buildings. ‘Urban’ in terms of the housing monitoring report data is defined as the four towns; Leamington Spa, Kenilworth, Warwick and Whitnash. This percentage figure is in line with the Structure Plan target. The Council will adopt policies within this Local Plan that seek to continue to achieve and exceed this target. The annual monitoring will also assess progress towards meeting the indicative targets for brownfield urban land and buildings.

This Plan covers the period 2001-2011. For the period beyond 2011, the successor to this Plan will make provision for the housing requirement which is due to be reviewed by 2008 by the Regional Planning Body. The following Tables show how the interim RSS housing requirement to 2021 could be met by completions, commitments and potential windfall development.

Table 5: The Residual Housing Requirement 2005-2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Dwellings</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regional Spatial Strategy Housing Requirement 2001-2021</td>
<td>8,091</td>
<td>This equates to 25.7% of the requirement for Warwickshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling Completed 2001 to 2005</td>
<td>3,324</td>
<td>These are dwellings that have been built and are ready for occupation. This is the ‘known’ element of the supply. Source: Housing Monitoring Report 2005.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining dwellings to be provided 2005-2021</td>
<td>4,767</td>
<td>This is the RSS requirement less completions (The residual requirement)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Over the longer term, provision will need to be made for 4,767 dwellings between 2005 and 2021. The forecast supply of dwellings to meet the requirement is set out in the following table.

Table 6: Dwellings Supply at 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Dwellings</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling Committed at 2005</td>
<td>2,844</td>
<td>These are dwellings with planning permission or under construction, dwellings that were allocated within the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dwellings Lapsed post 2005  -284  These are the estimated number of dwellings committed at 2005 but not yet built that will not come forward. Source: Housing Monitoring Report

Windfalls Estimate 2005-2021 (Urban)  4,230  This is the number of dwellings expected to be completed on urban brownfield windfall sites during the period 2005 to 2021 if recent trends continue. Source: Urban Capacity Study (2002). Housing Monitoring Reports

Windfalls Estimate 2005-2021 (Rural)  165  This is the number of dwellings expected to be completed on rural windfall sites during the period 2005-2021. Source: Housing Monitoring Reports

Total Supply  6,955  This is the number of dwellings estimated to be provided for during the period 2005 to 2021.

The over supply of housing will be addressed through the preparation of a Supplementary Planning Document in accordance with Policy SC8a.

Philip Clarke
19th January 2006